





UNRBA
Nutrient Credit
Development
Project
PFC Meeting
Aug 2017







Nutrient Credits Project Summary

- The UNRBA invested \$310K in this project, which started in 2014
- DWR contributed \$70K in grant funding
- Several practices have been approved
- Two are under consideration
- The UNRBA Credit Tool is available for use

| Practice | Approved for Existing Development | Approved New Development |
|---|---|--------------------------------|
| Bioretention design variants | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Level spreader filter strip design variants | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Infiltration devices (over/under sizing) | \checkmark | \checkmark |
| Soil improvement | \checkmark | |
| Cattle exclusion (contingent approval) | \checkmark | |
| Removal of illicit discharges | \checkmark | |
| Land conservation | See status update | |
| Buffer improvement in developed areas | See status update | |



Status of Buffer Improvement in Developed Areas

- Received comments during the public comment period which ended in early May
- Discussed next steps with DWR on June 22nd
- Awaiting a follow up meeting with DWR and DMS to finalize this practice and send back out to public comment (likely September)



Land Conservation Credit--Status of DEQ Discussions

- UNRBA has been pursuing a nutrient credit for land conservation since the project began
- DWR preemptively issued a letter stating they would not approve a credit for this practice
- The UNRBA has met several times with DEQ to discuss options for this practice
- On August 4th, impromptu meeting with Rich Gannon and Jim Hawhee to discuss alternative calculation method
- Preliminary numbers are promising (small credit)
- Continue to work through this with DEQ



Revised Credit Estimates for Land Conservation

- The Falls Lake Nutrient Management Strategy calculated nutrient loading targets for new development based on
 - Estimated nutrient loading rates for developable land
 - Proportion of developable land in each category
- New development loading targets were "neutral"
- 72 percent of the developable land was assumed forested
- Land conservation would reduce the amount of forest available for development and shift development to other land uses
- If the new development loading targets stay the same, over time less nutrient loading would be delivered to Falls Lake

Example Calculation for Neutral Loading Rates for Land Conservation

| Land use | N Export Rate after 40% Reduction (lb/ac/yr) | Proportion of Developable Area | (Neutral Nitrogen Export Rate for new development) x (Proportion of Area) (lb/ac/yr) | | |
|---|--|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Scenario 1. No Land Conservation (Current Assumptions of Falls Lake Nutrient Management Strategy) | | | | | |
| Row crops | 8.0 | 0.02 | 0.160 | | |
| Pasture/other | 3.4 | 0.26 | 0.884 | | |
| Forest | 1.6 | 0.72 | 1.152 | | |
| Conserved | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Weighted average export rate for neutral loading from new development | | | 2.196 | | |
| Scenario 2. Land Conservation at Non-Incentivized Rates (Assume 32,000 acres of the 375,473 acres of developable land (8.5%) are conserved ¹ | | | | | |
| Row crops | 8.0 | 0.062 (0.02+0.085/2) | 0.496 | | |
| Pasture/other | 3.4 | 0.302 (0.26+0.085/2) | 1.027 | | |
| Forest | 1.6 | 0.635 (0.72-0.085) | 1.016 | | |
| Conserved | 1.6 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Weighted average export rate for neutral loading from new development | | | 2.539 | | |

For this example, land conservation would generate a nitrogen credit of 0.34 lb-N/ac/yr.

Example Calculation for Neutral Loading Rates for Land Conservation

| Land use | Phosphorus Export Rate after 77% Reduction (lb/ac/yr) | Proportion of Developable Area | (Neutral Phosphorus Export Rate for new development) x (Proportion of Area) (lb/ac/yr) | | |
|---|---|-----------------------------------|--|--|--|
| Scenario 1. Current Assumptions of Falls Lake Nutrient Management Strategy (No Land Conservation) | | | | | |
| Row crops | 1.22 | 0.02 | 0.024 | | |
| Pasture/other | 0.25 | 0.26 | 0.065 | | |
| Forest | 0.33 | 0.72 | 0.238 | | |
| Conserved | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Weighted average export rate for neutral loading from new development | | | 0.327 | | |
| Scenario 2. Land Conservation at Non-Incentivized Rates (Assume 32,000 acres of the 375,473 acres of developable land (8.5%) are conserved ¹ | | | | | |
| Row crops | 1.22 | 0.062 (0.02+0.085/2) | 0.076 | | |
| Pasture/other | 0.25 | 0.302 (0.26+0.085/2) | 0.076 | | |
| Forest | 0.33 | 0.635 (0.72-0.085) | 0.210 | | |
| Conserved | 0.33 | 0 | 0 | | |
| Weighted average export rate for neutral loading from new development | | | 0.362 | | |

For this example, land conservation would generate a phosphorus credit of 0.035 lb-N/ac/yr.



